1	[DRAFT] Adolescent Vaccination: Recommendations from the
2	National Vaccine Advisory Committee - Adolescent Working Group
3	
4	In response to a request by the Assistant Secretary for Health, the National Vaccine Advisory
5	Committee (NVAC) adolescent working group recently assessed issues related to the goal of
6	developing a comprehensive and successful adolescent immunization program in the United
7	States. Six key areas were identified as presenting distinct challenges to realizing this goal,
8	which is critical to the ultimate achievement of improved health outcomes among U.S.
9	adolescents. These key areas include: venues for vaccine administration, consent for
10	immunizations, communication, financing, surveillance, and the potential for school mandates.
11	Having solicited input from over 40 stakeholders, the NVAC adolescent working group has
12	developed recommendations for addressing each area. Because some of the issues are inter-
13	dependent, recommendations in some sections overlap. These recommendations are intended for
14	health policy makers, immunization program managers, health care providers, and other
15	stakeholders.
16	
17	VENUE/HEALTH CARE UTILIZATION
18	The American Academy of Pediatrics and other organizations recommend that all adolescents
19	receive primary care within a medical home. <sup>2</sup> We also believe that the medical home is an
20	important venue for health care delivery, including immunizations, and that efforts need to be
21	made to promote health care access, utilization of services, and availability of health insurance
22	for adolescents. However, recent data suggest that, if unchanged, utilization patterns of
23	preventive visits alone will not be sufficient to achieve high immunization coverage among

24	adolescents. <sup>3,4</sup> While younger adolescents have historically made more frequent preventive
25	health care visits within traditional settings for care (i.e., pediatric and family practices), older
26	adolescents have been observed to seek preventive care less frequently from traditional
27	sources. <sup>3,5</sup>
28	
29	Identifying appropriate complementary settings for adolescent vaccination may be an important
30	strategy for reaching adolescents who lack access to traditional sources of care. In order to reach
31	as many adolescents as possible and maximize each encounter with a health care professional,
32	we have developed recommendations for both the traditional medical home setting and potential
33	complementary settings. Most of the recommendations will require partnerships (e.g. A-2),
34	while some recommendations warrant immediate implementation by clinicians (e.g., A-1).
35	
36	A. The Medical Home Setting
37	1. Promote and strengthen delivery of vaccination services in the medical home during both
38	preventive care and, when not contraindicated, during non-preventive care visits.
39	a. All medical home visits by adolescents, including visits made by adolescents with minor
40	acute illnesses <sup>6</sup> (e.g., diarrhea, mild upper-respiratory tract infection with or without
41	fever), should be considered opportunities for immunization and, if no immunizations are
42	due, for counseling about upcoming immunizations.
43	b. Immunizations should be administered at the earliest opportunity consistent with the
44	harmonized ACIP/AAP/AAFP recommendations.
45	c. Health care professionals should simultaneously administer as many indicated vaccine
46	doses as recommended.

17	d. All providers administering vaccinations to adolescents should participate in
48	Immunization Information Systems (IIS). Participation of all vaccination providers will
19	decrease the likelihood of adolescents' receiving unnecessary vaccine doses and will also
50	reduce care fragmentation (e.g., for children who are transferring between providers or
51	who may be receiving vaccinations in another setting such as the local health
52	department).
53	2. Conduct research to identify effective strategies to increase utilization of recommended
54	preventive health care visits and other opportunities that will promote adolescents' receipt of
55	all immunizations as recommended by the ACIP.
56	
57	B. Settings Complementary to the Medical Home
58	1. Determine the feasibility and acceptability of vaccinating adolescents in US settings
59	complementary to the medical home.
50	a. Evaluation and research objectives should:
51	i. Include assessments of existing infrastructure, opportunity costs (e.g., for local public
52	health), and comparative cost-effectiveness.
53	ii. Focus on the general adolescent population as well as sub-populations (e.g., racial
54	and ethnic minorities, youth living below poverty level, incarcerated, substance using,
55	homeless and / or pregnant youth), which may be particularly challenging to reach,
56	educate, and vaccinate, and are therefore most vulnerable to vaccine-preventable
67	diseases.
58	b. Possible venues for future assessments may include:
59	- Schools/colleges

70		-	Pharmacies
71		-	Retail locations
72		-	Urgent care, emergency departments, and hospitals
73		-	Religious, spiritual, and cultural venues
74		-	Mobile vans
75		-	Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) clinics
76		-	Offices of physicians who have not historically provided a medical home to
77			adolescents (e.g., obstetrician/gynecologists)
78		-	Substance abuse clinics
79		-	Runaway/homeless shelters
80		-	Teen social venues
81		-	Correctional facilities
82		-	Family planning clinics
83	2.	Promo	ote and facilitate implementation of vaccination services in complementary settings
84		shown	n to be appropriate and effective (based on the research conducted in #1 above). As
85		part of	f the implementation, each setting should have a plan for partnering with every
86		patien	t's medical home (e.g., using an IIS to report vaccines administered, referring teen to
87		his pri	mary care practitioner in the medical home for routine health care visits or other
88		neede	d services). Each setting should develop and implement strategies to identify
89		adoles	scents who do not have medical homes and refer those adolescents to local primary
90		health	care providers.
91	3.	Promo	ote and facilitate full participation in Immunization Information Systems (IIS) among
92		all pro	oviders administering vaccinations to adolescents.

93	4.	Promote and facilitate reporting to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS)
94		among all providers administering vaccinations to adolescents.
95	5.	Continue to monitor patterns of health care utilization by adolescents over time in order to:
96		a. Document evolving health care utilization patterns occurring in both medical homes and
97		complementary settings
98		b. Assess changing patterns in vaccine administration occurring during preventive and non
99		preventive care visits in the medical home
100		c. Evaluate the effects changing patterns (observed in all settings) have on:
101		- Overall adolescent immunization rates
102		- Coverage disparities among sub-populations (which may be observed as coverage
103		assessments improve)
104		- Delivery of other health care preventive services recommended for adolescents
105		d. Identify additional venues that may be appropriate for adolescent vaccination services
106		e. Ensure limited resources used to provide vaccination services in complementary settings
107		are being employed effectively
108	6.	Improve comprehensive medical care programs for adolescents in foster care, residential
109		treatment facilities and correctional facilities, including delivery of age-appropriate
110		immunizations consistent with ACIP recommendations.
111		
112	C	ONSENT
113	As	more vaccines are recommended for use in adolescents, the ability or inability of an
114	ad	olescent to give consent to receive vaccines may become an issue in their utilization.
115	Cu	arrently, the recommended age for receiving these vaccines is 11-12 years, where health care

116	uti	lization patterns involving direct parental participation make consent issues of less concern.	
117	Н	owever, recommendations for the use of these and other vaccinations also include catch up in	
118	older adolescents who may be receiving their health care in situations where parental or guardian		
119	co	nsent is not easily available. The right to consent to health care by minors is currently	
120	de	termined by state law and varies widely. All 50 states and the District of Columbia have laws	
121	re	ated to health care consent by minors. <sup>7</sup>	
122			
123	1.	All health care providers and their staff who may potentially provide care to adolescents	
124		should become familiar with their states law regarding a minor's right to consent to health	
125		care. If barriers relating to consent are perceived, providers are encouraged to wrok with	
126		their state immunization program office to develop strategies that can facilitate uptake and	
127		access to vaccines in this age group.	
128	2.	Health care providers and their staff members should ensure that current Vaccine Information	
129		Statements are provided to all persons providing legal consent for adolescents' vaccinations.	
130	3.	Adolescents should be fully informed regarding the benefits and any potential risks	
131		associated with vaccines they receive, regardless of the individual consent laws in each state.	
132		This should include information in an age appropriate format as well as the Vaccine	
133		Information Statements. Understanding the value and rationale for immunizations is	
134		important to future immunization acceptance by adolescents themselves and for their	
135		children in the future.	
136			
137			
138			

COMMINICA	ATION/PUBLIC	ENGAGI	EMENT

Communication is an important aspect of any public health effort both to help families with their health care decisions and to help health care professionals with quality immunization delivery.

The need for health communication is pronounced in the case of the adolescent immunization program because levels of knowledge of the adolescent vaccines and the diseases against which they protect are not universally high. Because the decision to provide or accept vaccinations has both technical and socio-emotional components, communication must address both levels.

Health communication at a public health level is analogous to health communication at the clinical level; it requires skill at both listening and expressing. In order to optimize public policy and public health we need to continuously improve our "listening"—for example, expanding our understanding of adolescent, family, and health care professional perspectives on adolescent immunization through consultation and participation in dialogue. Additionally, we need to continuously discover and implement best practices in conveying public health messages, for example, increasing awareness of the benefits of adolescent immunization among special target audiences (e.g., third party payers, employers, legislators, community leaders, hospital administrators, and educators). As with childhood immunization, the ability to rapidly and effectively communicate scientifically sound information on emerging vaccine safety issues to all stakeholders will be a public health imperative. Resources should be made available to support the quality of both the listening and expressing components of health communication regarding adolescent immunization.

161	Below are	some principles of health communication that directly apply to adolescent
162	immunizat	tion.
163		
164	1. Quality.	The adolescent immunization communication efforts should be of high quality. Pre-
165	release	testing should assess for the quality of the communication. Materials and messages
166	should	be:
167	•	Accurate scientifically correct and appropriately thorough
168	•	Appealing presented in a manner that is likely to be most appealing to the given
169		audience
170	•	Relevant – addressing the concerns of the audience
171	•	Appropriate detailed below
172	2. <u>Tailore</u>	ed messages. Messages should be carefully designed for audience needs.
173	a.	Addressing needs of audience segments: If empirical research identifies that different
174		communication modes or content work better based on audience characteristics,
175		messages should be tailored for specific audiences. Both the media and the message
176		should relate to the needs and concerns of respective target audiences. The needs of
177		adolescent and family audience segments vary based on factors such as age, sex,
178		language, health literacy, culture, and cognitive or developmental stage. Messages for
179		health care professionals should be targeted for their licensure (e.g., MD, DO, PA,
180		NP, RN, PharmD, etc.) and specialty (e.g., OB-GYN, Pediatrics, Family Practice).
181	b.	Reaching audiences who most need prevention: It is important to create adolescent
182		immunization messages for the general public, but efforts also should be made to
183		design messages to address the needs of audiences that are in greatest need of specific

184	information. For example, special efforts should be made to get appropriate messages
185	about human papillomavirus (HPV) prevention to groups with the highest incidence
186	of HPV infection and the highest incidence of death from cervical cancer.
187	Immunization strategies based on vaccinating only high-risk groups generally have
188	been ineffective as have been health communication strategies based on "one-size-
189	fits-all" messages. For this reason we support the current broad vaccination
190	recommendations communicated in ways that most precisely address the needs of
191	specific segments of the intended audience.
192	c. Preventing information overload: The information content of the messages should be
193	layered so as to provide the needed information without overwhelming the recipient
194	with unwanted information. Links to more detailed information can be used if there
195	is concern that recipients may need more information later.
196	3. Collaboration. Organizations involved in adolescent immunization should learn from and
197	collaborate with a broad spectrum of groups that have interest and expertise in immunization
198	and/or communication to youth and their parents. Some examples follow.
199	• Federal, state, and local public health personnel and organizations – e.g., National
200	Association of County and City Health Officials
201	• Organizations for health care professionals – e.g., American Academy of Pediatrics,
202	American Academy of Physician Assistants, Academic Pediatric Association, Society
203	for Adolescent Medicine, Infectious Disease Society of America, National
204	Association of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners, National Association of School Nurses
205	Health insurance plans
206	Vaccine manufacturers

207	•	Not-for-profit organizations – e.g., American Cancer Society, Immunization Action
208		Coalition
209	•	Parent groups – e.g., National Meningitis Association, Parents of Kids with Infectious
210		Diseases, Families Fighting Flu
211	•	Organizations that serve adolescents – e.g., Boys & Girls Clubs of America, Girl
212		Scouts of America, Big Brothers, Big Sisters of America, sports organizations
213	•	Educational organizations, including those that develop primary and secondary
214		educational curricula
215	•	Others who have developed successful public health communication campaigns such
216		as the anti-smoking campaign
217	•	Advertising and media professionals – e.g., producers of movies and television
218		programs, editors of magazines and other publications targeted at adolescents
219	•	Relevant computer and Internet companies – e.g., social networking website
220		companies, publicly available personal health record
221	4. Resear	ch. Communication of adolescent immunization should be informed by
222	method	dologically sound research on the target population's knowledge/understanding,
223	awaren	ness, attitudes, and concerns about adolescent immunization.
224	a. Res	sults of formative research should be communicated broadly (e.g., peer review
225	pul	olication, collaborative organization journals and websites, inclusion on a federal
226	we	bsite)
227	b. Lo	ngitudinal surveillance mechanisms should be established in order to determine
228	ado	plescent, family and health professional perspectives. This system should serve as a
229	spr	ingboard for public health policy and programs. This is important because knowledge

230			attitudes and behaviors of these groups will change over time and health communication
231			should be responsive to these changes.
232		c.	Ad hoc studies on 'hot topics' should be supported in order to determine adolescent,
233			family and health professional perspectives. This system should serve as a springboard
234			for public health policy and programs.
235	5.	<u>Co</u>	mmunication about adolescent immunizations within the clinical setting
236		a.	Important communication about adolescent immunization should occur within the
237			medical home and other venues where adolescents receive care. This comes in several
238			forms – e.g., discussion with parents during well care visits and consumer reminders in
239			the form of telephone or mailed reminders to come in for vaccination.
240		b.	Training and materials for use by primary care health care professionals should be readily
241			available at no cost to providers, adolescents, and their parents/guardians.
242		c.	Compensation for the time health care professionals spend communicating to parents and
243			adolescents about the vaccines should be fair. The details of this are beyond the scope of
244			these recommendations. This statement is included only to acknowledge that
245			communication in the clinical setting takes clinician time, which should be valued and
246			compensated.
247	6.	Br	oad dissemination. A wide range of venues and media outlets should be utilized to reach
248		tar	get audiences. Along with traditional advertising media, other media should be utilized.
249		Th	ese include organizational media (e.g., newsletters), new media (e.g., email, text messages
250		Yo	ouTube), and non-traditional modes (e.g., product placement, developing spokespersons
251		wi	thin the target audiences, and linkages to products designed for adolescents or their
252		pai	rents).

253	<b>FINANCING</b>
-----	------------------

The financing of vaccines and related services for adolescents presents distinct challenges.

Vaccines recommended for this age group are relatively expensive compared to those recommended for infants and young children. This has the potential to put significant strain on both public and private sector payers. Fewer adolescents, compared to younger children, have private health insurance coverage for preventive services. At the same time, compared with infants and young children, fewer adolescents are eligible for the federal Vaccines for Children (VFC) program. The following recommendations suggest, at least in general terms, ways that the multiple financial barriers to adolescent immunization could be addressed.

- 1. All public and private health insurance plans should offer first-dollar coverage of all costs associated with the acquisition, handling, storage and administration of all vaccines recommended for routine and "catch-up" use among adolescents by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). Vaccine administration costs should be calculated to include, but not be limited to, the value of time and materials needed for patient / parent education, record keeping (including participation in an IIS), and other associated costs.
- 2. Provision of Federal and State tax incentives for insurance carriers and other entities (such as employers who purchase health insurance for their employees) should be explored as an effort to stimulate compliance with the foregoing recommendation on insurance coverage of immunizations.
- Develop and implement national legislation to mandate first-dollar insurance coverage of
   ACIP recommended adolescent vaccines (and associated vaccination costs) in all health

275		plans exempted from state mandates by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act
276		(ERISA) and in all health plans serving federal employees.
277	4.	Refine the Vaccines for Children (VFC) program so that all VFC-enrolled providers are
278		allowed to use VFC vaccines to vaccinate adolescents who are underinsured for one or more
279		of the recommended vaccines and who cannot otherwise afford to be vaccinated.
280	5.	Substantially decrease the time from creation to official publication of ACIP
281		recommendations in order to expedite decisions by insurers to cover new vaccines and new
282		indications for vaccines currently available.
283	6.	Expand the Public Health Services Act 317 funding to support the additional national, state
284		and local public health infrastructure (e.g., widespread and effective education and promotion
285		for healthcare providers, adolescents, and their parents; coordination of supplementary and
286		alternative venues for adolescent vaccinations; record keeping and registries; vaccine safety
287		surveillance; disease surveillance) needed for adolescent immunizations.
288	7.	Ensure adequate funding to cover all costs (including those incurred by the schools) arising
289		from assuring compliance with adolescent immunization mandates for school attendance.
290	8.	Promote shared public and private sector approaches to help fund school-based and other
291		complementary-venue adolescent immunization efforts.
292	9.	Continue federal funding for cost-benefit studies of vaccinations targeted for adolescents.
293	10	. Carefully implement the foregoing recommendations to avoid substantial negative impact on
294		the private market for vaccines.
295		

296

## SURVEILLANCE

Efforts to promote vaccine coverage for children have benefited from the ability to monitor
trends in coverage and to analyze them in enough detail to initiate improvements in
immunization programs. Similarly, surveillance of vaccine preventable diseases among children
has demonstrated reductions in disease burden, morbidity, and mortality stemming from
successful implementation of immunization recommendations. Such data are essential to
demonstrating the usefulness of immunization and identifying issues including health disparities.
Surveillance is also expected to be an essential tool for supporting, evaluating and improving
Surveillance is also expected to be an essential tool for supporting, evaluating and improving immunization among adolescents. There are distinct challenges. Among the challenges in
immunization among adolescents. There are distinct challenges. Among the challenges in
immunization among adolescents. There are distinct challenges. Among the challenges in determining coverage are the use of complementary and alternative immunization venues, the
immunization among adolescents. There are distinct challenges. Among the challenges in determining coverage are the use of complementary and alternative immunization venues, the lack of consistent reporting, dependence on electronic systems that do not allow integration of

underreporting (e.g., genital warts). Below we make recommendations for surveillance and

associated adverse events. These recommendations should be considered for both existing

monitoring of three key areas: vaccine coverage, disease burden, and vaccine safety and vaccine

surveillance systems and mechanisms as well as for new initiatives that may be implemented in

316 1. Surveillance for vaccine coverage

the future.

a. Longitudinal measurement of vaccine coverage among adolescents requires sustainable systems

319	b.	Surveillance systems should be sustained or developed that are able to measure coverage
320		by:
321		i. Year
322		ii. State
323		iii. Age
324		iv. Antigen/Strain/Pathogen
325		v. Race and ethnicity group
326		vi. Health care coverage status (e.g., insured, underinsured, uninsured) and type (e.g.,
327		public, private)
328		vii. Poverty level
329		vi. Residential area (e.g., urban, suburban, rural)
330	c.	Efforts should be made to measure coverage among groups at risk, including
331		incarcerated, substance using, homeless and pregnant youth and those with chronic
332		illnesses.
333	d.	Well-defined coverage targets should be developed for vaccinations routinely
334		recommended for administration to adolescents. National indicators are needed in the
335		following areas:
336		i. Vaccination coverage
337		ii. Immunization Information Systems (e.g. adolescent participation rate, provider
338		reporting rate for adolescent vaccines, etc)
339		iii. Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS) measures on Adolescent
340		Immunization Status (i.e., HEDIS measures should be updated to reflect current
341		adolescent recommendations)

342	2.	Surveillance	for	disease	burden

- a. Ongoing measurement of vaccine-preventable disease burdens should include reportable and non-reportable conditions. Standardized case definitions should be employed, and to the extent possible, cases should be confirmed by appropriate laboratory tests. For some diseases (e.g., meningococcal disease and human papillomavirus) specific surveillance to track serogroups or genotypes is needed. Both passive and active surveillance may be needed for some vaccine-preventable diseases.
- b. Impact of adolescent immunization outside of the target age group needs to be considered, especially for pertussis and human papillomavirus.
- c. For some pathogens, including human papillomavirus and varicella-zoster viruses, both long term and short term outcomes should be measured. As an example, many years will likely be required to measure and document the impact of human papillomavirus vaccination upon the rates of cervical cancer among U.S. females; however, decreases in the occurrences of cervical cancer precursors and genital warts may be appreciable during a shorter time horizon.<sup>10</sup>
- d. Surveillance should be updated to anticipate new indications and new antigens. This will allow establishment of baseline infection /disease rates and facilitate future assessments of vaccination impact [e.g., improved surveillance related to cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection may be warranted given that CMV vaccine candidate(s) are in development].
- e. Data should be collected with sufficient detail that changes can be correlated with vaccination rates.
- 363 3. Monitoring for vaccine safety and vaccine associated adverse events

364	a. Surveillance and hypothesis testing systems should include adequate number	rs of
365	adolescents to detect and evaluate safety signals.	
366	b. Research should anticipate the conditions that frequently arise during adoles	cence that
367	might be considered as potential adverse events. Definitions and background	rates should
368	be developed in advance for these conditions and disorders.	
369	4. All surveillance systems supporting adolescent immunization	
370	a. These systems should reflect the qualities of any effective surveillance system	m: They
371	should be timely, representative, consistent, accurate, and the results should	be widely
372	disseminated in a timely manner to influence policy and practice. The system	ns should
373	adapt to and take advantage of changing technologies.	
374	b. For surveillance systems to work, all health care providers delivering immur	nizations to
375	adolescents in communities and other settings (e.g., military, corrections fac-	ilities,
376	colleges) should be provided with education regarding the importance of dis	ease
377	reporting, adverse event reporting, and participating in immunization inform	ation
378	systems (IIS).	
379		
380	SCHOOL MANDATES	
381	Compulsory or mandated vaccinations for school entry are credited with helping the	United
382	States achieve high childhood vaccination coverage rates and subsequently low rate	s of vaccine-

preventable diseases among young children. 11,12 While school mandates have proven to be a

valuable public health tool, they have also generated concern and debate regarding their ability to

balance the public's health and individual/parental rights. <sup>13</sup> In a previously published paper <sup>14</sup>,

the NVAC adolescent working group assessed the issues related to school mandates for

383

384

385

386

adolescent vaccination and provided recommendations for jurisdictions considering implementation of an adolescent vaccination mandate. In the interest of being complete, we are including the school mandate recommendations here.

- 1. <u>Partnership</u>. Secure the input and partnership of state and local immunization program personnel and adolescent health care providers in drafting legislation/regulation regarding mandating adolescent vaccines. Work closely with school administrators and school health personnel to ensure that potential school-level administrative and enforcement burdens are minimized.
- 2. <u>Infrastructure and Financing</u>. Use the expert input of partners to address infrastructure issues that may impact the implementation of an adolescent vaccine mandate. These include such issues as: vaccine purchasing, supply, storage, safety profile, uptake, and target population. Identify and plan for all direct and indirect costs of vaccine administration, including adequate provider reimbursement and costs associated with implementing a new mandate, to ensure equitable access to mandated vaccines.
- 3. <u>Consistency</u>. Look for ways to incorporate new mandates as seamlessly as possible into existing vaccine legislation/regulation, and ensure that new mandates do not contradict existing legislation/regulation in areas such as reporting of coverage levels, penalties for non-compliance (e.g., being held out of school), and immunization information system reporting requirements. Consistency with existing policies may also minimize vaccine-specific or convenience exemptions when a new vaccine is introduced.
- 4. <u>Support</u>. Ensure that adequate political and public support exists before incorporating an adolescent vaccine mandate into existing state legislation/regulation. Education of parents

and health care providers on vaccines, vaccine-preventable diseases, and mandates is
encouraged to secure public understanding and support, increase voluntary uptake, and to
minimize the administrative burden on school health personnel.
Conclusion
In this report we have provided recommendations to six critical issues challenging the US health
care system to fully vaccinate the adolescent population. Undoubtedly, some of the
recommendations will require new resources and it is our sincere hope that policy makers will
recognize the importance of adolescent vaccination and provide the necessary resources. The US
has a history of implementing and sustaining a strong infant and childhood immunization
program and we believe the same can be achieved for the adolescent population. We strongly
urge policy makers, immunization program managers, and health care providers to work together
to implement these recommendations and create a strong adolescent immunization program.

The adolescents of our nation deserve no less.

425	References
T4J	

- 1. The National Vaccine Advisory Committee. The promise and challenge of adolescent
- immunization. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. In Press.
- 428 2. American Academy of Pediatrics, Ad Hoc Task Force on Definition of the Medial Home.
- The medical home. Pediatrics 1992;90:774.
- 430 3. Rand CM, Shone LP, Albertine C, Auinger P, Lein JD, Szilagyi PG. National health care
- visit patterns of adolescents: implications for delivery of new adolescent vaccines. Arch
- 432 Pediatr Adolesc Med 2007;161:252-9.
- 4. Rand CM, Szilagyi PG, Albertin C, Auinger P. Additional health care visits needed
- among adolescents for human papillomavirus vaccine delivery within the medical home.
- 435 Pediatrics. 2007;120:461-466.
- 5. Albertin C, Rand CM, Fryer G, Shone L, Schaffer SJ, Szilagyi PG. Adolescent health
- care utilization across the U.S.: who may be reached for immunization? Paper presented
- at: Pediatric Academic Societies meeting, San Francisco, CA, April 29, 2006.
- 6. CDC. General recommendations on immunization: recommendations of the Advisory
- Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2006;55(No. RR-15):1-48.
- 7. English A, Kenney KE. State minor consent laws: a summary, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Center for
- Adolescent Health and the Law, Chapel Hill, NC. May 2003.
- 8. CDC. Report to Congress on 317 Immunization Program. Atlanta, GA: Centers for
- Disease Control and Prevention; March 2008.
- 9. Roush SW, Murphy TV, Vaccine-Preventable Disease Table Working Group. Historical
- 446 comparisons of morbidity and mortality for vaccine-preventable diseases in the United
- 447 States. JAMA. 2007;298:2155-2163.

448	10. Markowitz LE, Dunne EF, Saraiya M, Lawson HW, Chasson H, Unger ER. Quadrivalent
449	Human Papillomavirus Vaccine: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on
450	Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2007;56:1-24.
451	11. Orenstein WA, Hinman AR. The immunization system in the United States: The role of
452	school immunization laws. Vaccine. 1999;17(S3):S19-S24.
453	12. Hinman AR, Orenstein WA, Williamson DE, Darrington D. Childhood immunization:
454	Laws that work. J Law Med Ethics. 2002;30(S3):122-127.
455	13. Colgrove J. The ethics and politics of compulsory HPV vaccination. N Engl J Med.
456	2006;355(23):2389-2391.
457	14. The National Vaccine Advisory Committee. Mandates for Adolescent Immunizations:
458	Recommendations from the National Vaccine Advisory Committee (NVAC) Adolescent
459	Immunization Working Group. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. In Press.